UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 ||

1

2

4 CORLISS LEE,

6

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

,, Co

Plaintiff,

v.

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-05458-VC

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Re: Dkt. No. 40

On February 10, 2015 the Court granted in part Metlife's motion for summary judgment. Docket No. 57; *see also* Docket No. 40. In this Order, the Court concluded that, "with respect to Lee's claim for breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, there is no evidence in the record to support a contention that Metlife acted in bad faith." But because Lee had not yet been able to schedule the deposition of Patricia Sullivan, and because it appeared that Sullivan's deposition could conceivably provide evidence in support of Lee's claim that Metlife acted in bad faith in connection with the July 2012 denial, the Court denied Metlife's motion for summary judgment on that claim, "without prejudice to revisiting the issue at the pretrial conference."

Subsequently, Lee's counsel was able to depose Sullivan, and the parties have now briefed the issue whether Sullivan's deposition provides evidence to support Lee's claim that Metlife acted in bad faith. Sullivan's deposition testimony does not provide a sufficient basis by which a reasonable jury could conclude that Metlife acted in bad faith. Accordingly, the Court grants Metlife's motion for summary judgment on this claim.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 13, 2015

VINCE CHHABRIA United States District Judge